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Abstract—It is demonstrated that iteratively Decoded Variable Length Space Time Coded Modulation (VL-STCM-ID) schemes are capable of simultaneously providing both coding gain as well as multiplexing and diversity gain. The VL-STCM-ID arrangement is a jointly designed iteratively decoded scheme combining source coding, channel coding, modulation as well as spatial diversity/multiplexing. In this contribution, we analyse the iterative decoding convergence of the VL-STCM-ID scheme using symbol-based three-dimensional EXIT charts. The performance of the VL-STCM-ID scheme is shown to be about 14.6 dB better than that of the Fixed Length STCM (FL-STCM) benchmark at a source symbol error ratio of $10^{-4}$, when communicating over uncorrelated Rayleigh fading channels. The performance of the VL-STCM-ID scheme when communicating over correlated Rayleigh fading channels using imperfect channel state information is also studied.

I. INTRODUCTION

Shannon’s separation theorem stated that source coding and channel coding is best carried out in isolation [1]. However, this theorem was formulated in the context of potentially infinite-delay, lossless entropy-coding and infinite block length channel coding. In practice, real-time wireless audio/video communications systems do not meet these ideal hypotheses. Specifically, the source encoded symbols often remain correlated, despite the lossy source encoder’s efforts to remove all redundancy. Furthermore, they exhibit unequal error sensitivity. In these circumstances, it is often more efficient to use jointly designed source and channel encoders.

Space-time coding schemes, which employ multiple transmitters and receivers, are among the most efficient techniques designed for providing high data rates, which are capable of exploiting the high channel capacity potential of Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) channels [2]. More explicitly, Bell-lab’s Layered Space Time architecture (BLAST) [3] was designed for providing full-spatial-multiplexing gain, while Space Time Trellis Codes (STTC) [4] were designed for providing full-spatial-diversity gain.

A jointly designed source coding and Space Time Coded Modulation (STCM) scheme has been proposed in [5], [6]. This scheme employs novel two dimensional (2D) Variable Length Codes (VLCs) and is capable of exploiting both spatial and temporal domain diversity. More specifically, the number of activated transmit antennas equals the number of non-zero-energy symbols of the corresponding VLC codeword in the spatial domain, where each VLC codeword is transmitted during a single symbol period. Hence, the transmission frame length is determined by a fixed number of source symbols and therefore the proposed Variable Length STCM (VL-STCM) scheme does not incur synchronisation problems and does not require the transmission of side information. Additionally, the associated source correlation is converted into an increased minimum product distance [5], which leads to an increased coding gain.

For the sake of attaining additional iteration gains, the VL-STCM scheme was further developed in [7] by introducing parallel non-binary Unity-Rate Codes (URCs) between the variable-length space-time encoder and the modulator. The Iteratively Decoded (ID) VL-STCM (VL-STCM-ID) scheme achieves a significant coding/iteration gain over both the non-iterative VL-STCM scheme and the Fixed Length STCM (FL-STCM) benchmark [7]. The decoding convergence of the VL-STCM-ID scheme will be analysed and its performance using imperfect channel state information will be studied in this contribution.

II. VL-STCM OVERVIEW

Consider for example a source having $N_s = 8$ possible discrete values and let the $l$th value be represented by a symbol $s^l = l$ for $l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N_s\}$. We assume that the source symbols emitted are independent of each other and have unequal probabilities of occurrence given by

\[
P(s^{l+1}) = 0.6P(s^l) = 0.6^l P(s^1),
\]

and $\sum_{l=1}^{N_s} P(s^l) = 1$. Note that a source is correlated when its entropy rate $H(s)$ is smaller than $\log_2(N_s)$ [8]. For the independent source considered, the source entropy rate equals the source entropy $H(s)$, which is given by: $H(s) = H(s) = -\sum_{l=1}^{8} \log_2(P(s^l)) \cdot P(s^l) = 2.302$ bit. Since $H(s) < \log_2(N_s)$, the source considered is a correlated source, where the higher the source correlation the smaller the source entropy rate. Let us now consider a 2D VLC which encodes these $N_s = 8$ possible source symbols using $N_t = 3$ transmit antennas and BPSK modulation. The codebook can be formulated as a matrix:

\[
V_{VLC} = \begin{bmatrix}
x & x & x & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
x & 0 & x & 0 & x & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & x & x & 1 & 1 & x & 1 & 0 
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where each column corresponds to a specific VLC codeword conveying a particular source symbol. More specifically, each
entry denoted as 0 and 1 represents the BPSK symbols to be transmitted, while ‘x’ corresponds to ‘no transmission’. ‘No transmission’ implies that the corresponding transmit antenna sends no signal. Let the lth source symbol \( s^l \) be encoded using the lth column of the \( V_{VLC} \) matrix seen in Equation 2. Hence, the source symbol \( s^l \) is encoded into an \( N_t \)-element codeword using the first column of \( V_{VLC} \) in Equation 2, namely \( x \times 0 \)\(^T \), where the first and second transmit antennas are in the ‘no transmission’ mode, while the third antenna transmits an ‘active’ symbol represented by the binary value ‘0’. Let \( L(s^l) \) be the number of ‘active’ symbols in the VLC codeword assigned to source symbol \( s^l \), then we may define the average codeword length of the 2D VLC as:

\[
L_{ave} = \sum_{l=1}^{N_s} P(s^l)L(s^l),
\]

where we have \( L_{ave} = 1.233 \) bit/VLC codeword for this system according to Equations 1 and 2. The corresponding BPSK signal mapper is characterised in Figure 1, where the ‘no transmission’ symbol is actually represented by the origin of the Euclidean space, i.e., we have \( f(x) = 0 \), where \( f(\cdot) \) is the mapping function. Since the ‘no transmission’ symbol is a zero energy symbol, the amount of energy saving can be computed from:

\[
A^2 = \frac{N_t}{L_{ave}},
\]

where we have \( A^2 = 3/1.233 = 2.433 \), which is equivalent to \( 20 \log(A) = 3.86 \) dB. Hence, more transmitted energy is saved, when there are no ‘transmission’ symbols in a VLC codeword. As a result, one can assign the more frequently occurring source symbols to the VLC codewords having more ‘no transmission’ components, in order to save transmit energy. The energy saved is then reallocated to the ‘active’ symbols for the sake of increasing their minimum Euclidean distance, as shown in Figure 1.

The 2D VLC matrix seen in Equation 2 was designed in [7], where \( N_t = 3 \) transmit antennas were employed for transmitting the \( N_t \)-element 2D VLC codewords denoted as \( v = [v_1 \ldots v_{N_s}] \) in Figure 2. It was shown in [7] that it attains a transmitter-diversity order quantified by the minimum Hamming distance of 2, a coding gain quantified by the minimum product distance of 5.92 and a spatial multiplexing gain quantified by \( \log_2(N_s/M) = 2 \), where \( M = 2 \) is the number of modulation levels of the original BPSK modulation and \( N_s = 8 \) is the number of source symbols. The throughput of the scheme is given by \( \eta = \log_2(N_s) = 3 \) bit/s/Hz and the Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) per bit is given by \( E_b/N_0 = \gamma/\eta \), where \( \gamma \) is the SNR per receive antenna.

The block diagram of the VL-STCM transmitter is illustrated in Figure 2, which can be represented by two fundamental blocks, namely the Variable Length Space Time Code (VL-STC) encoder and the modulator. As seen in Figure 2, a VLC codeword \( v[t] = [v_1[t] v_2[t] \ldots v_{N_s}[t]] \) is assigned to each of the source symbols \( s[t] \) generated by the source at time instant \( t \), where we have \( s[t] \in \{1, \ldots, N_s\} \) and \( N_s \) denotes the number of possible source symbols. Each of the VLC codewords \( v[t] \) seen in Figure 2 corresponds to one of the matrix columns in in Equation 2. As portrayed in Figure 2, the VLC codeword \( v[t] \) is transmitted diagonally across the space-time grid with the aid of shift registers denoted as \( S_d \) in Figure 2, where we have \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} (j-1) \} \). As we can see from Figure 2, the codeword \( v[t] = [v_1[t] v_2[t] \ldots v_{N_s}[t]] \) is transmitted using \( N_t \) transmit antennas, where the \( m \)th element of each VLC codeword, for \( 1 \leq m \leq N_t \), is delayed by \( (m-1) \) shift register cells, before it is transmitted through the \( m \)th transmit antenna. Hence, the \( N_t \) number of components of each VLC codeword are transmitted on a diagonal of the space-time codeword matrix [5], [7].

### III. VL-STCM-ID OVERVIEW

In order to invoke iterative detection and hence attain iteration gains as a benefit of the more meritoriously spread extrinsic information, a symbol-based random interleaver and a non-binary URC were introduced for each of the \( N_t = 3 \) transmit antennas [7]. The \( N_t = 3 \) parallel symbol-based interleavers were generated independently. As we can see from Figure 3, the sequence corresponding to all the \( m \)th elements in the space-time codeword \( \{c_m[t]\}, \ m \in \{1,2,3\} \), is further interleaved and encoded by a non-binary URC, before being fed to the mapper and transmitted as \( \{x_m[t]\} \). The non-binary URC employs a modulo-\( M \) adder, where again, \( M = 3 \) is the
number of distinct symbols in the VLC space-time codeword
and we have $c_m[t] \in \{0, 1, x\}$. Note that we represent the ‘x’
symbol using the number ‘2’ during the modulo-$M$ addition.

At the receiver, the symbol-based log-domain MAP algo-
rithm [9] is used by both the VL-STC decoder and the UR
decoder. The block diagram of the VL-STCM-ID receiver
is depicted in Figure 4, where we denote the log-domain
symbol probability of the VL-STC codeword $c$ or the URC
codeword $u$ for the $m$th transmitter. The subscripts $a$ and $e$
denote the $a$ priori and extrinsic nature of the probabilities while
the superscript $i,m$ identifies that the probabilities belong to the
$i$th stage decoder for the $m$th transmitter. Note that $i = 0$ means that the probabilities were calculated from
the source symbol distribution.

![Figure 4](image.png)

Fig. 4. The VL-STCM-ID receiver for an $N_r \times N_t$ MIMO system. The
notation $(.)$ and $(.)$ indicates the extrinsic/extrinsic $a$ priori probability and
the hard decision estimate of $(.)$, respectively. The notation $L^a_{i,m}(c, u)$
denotes the log-domain symbol probability of the VL-STC codeword $c$ or the URC
codeword $u$ for the $m$th transmitter. The subscripts $a$ and $e$ denote the $a$
priori and extrinsic nature of the probabilities while the superscript $i,m$
identifies that the probabilities belong to the $i$th stage decoder for the $m$th
transmitter. Note that $i = 0$ means that the probabilities were calculated from
the source symbol distribution.

The $a$ priori and extrinsic probabilities of the URC
codeword of transmit antenna $m$, namely $P_e(u_m[t])$, can be
computed during each symbol period in the ‘Soft Demapper’
block of Figure 4. By dropping the time-related square bracket, we can compute $P_e(u_m)$ as:

$$P_e(u_m = b) = \sum_{m \in \chi(m, b) \neq m} \left( P(y|x) \prod_{j \neq m} P_a(u_j) \right),$$

where $\chi(m, b)$ contains all the phasor combinations
for the transmitted signal vector $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \ldots \ x_{N_t} ]^T$
with $x_m = f(u_m = b)$, while $P(y|x)$ is the conditional
Probability Density Function (PDF) of the received signal.
When communicating over Rayleigh fading MIMO channels,
we have:

$$P(y|x) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma_n^2} \right)^{N_r} \exp \left( -\frac{||y-Hx||^2}{2\sigma_n^2} \right),$$

where $\sigma_n^2 = N_0/2$ is the noise variance, $y$ is the $N_r$-element
complex received signal vector and $H$ is the $N_r \times N_t$-di-
mensional complex channel matrix during the time instant
$t$. Furthermore, the $a$ priori probability of $u_m$ in Equation 5 is
computed from the extrinsic log-domain probability of the
$m$th URC MAP decoder as $P_a(u_m) = \exp(L^a_{i,m}(u))$, while
the log-domain $a$ priori probability of $u_m$ for the $m$th URC
MAP decoder is given by $L^a_{i,m}(u) = \ln(P_e(u_m))$.

It is possible to attain some $a$ priori probability for the
$N_r$-element VL-STC codewords, $c$, (which also constitute
the URC’s input words), given the source symbol occurrence
probability specified in Equation 1. Explicitly, the probability
of the $m$th URC’s input word $c_m$ can be expressed as:

$$P(c_m = d) = \sum_{t \in \mu(m, d)} P(s^t),$$

where the subset $\mu(m, d)$ contains the specific indices of those
columns in the VLC matrix, where the $m$th row element in
that column equals $d$. Hence, we have $L^a_{i,m}(c) = \ln(P(c_m))$
as an additional $a$ priori probability for symbol $c_m$ during
each iteration between the URC MAP decoder and the VL-
STC MAP decoder, as shown in Figure 4. Note that $P(c_m)$
is directly computed from the source symbol occurrence
probability $P(s^t)$, while we do not use $P(s^t)$ again as the
$a$ priori probability of the VL-STC input word in the VL-STC
MAP decoder, in order to avoid reusing the same information.

A full iteration consists of a soft demapper operation, $N_t = 3$
URC MAP decoder operations and a VL-STC MAP decoder
operation. For the non-iteratively decoded VL-STCM/FL-
STCM, the soft demapper computes the $a$ priori information
of $c[t] = [c_1[t] \ c_2[t] \ c_3[t]]^T$ and feeds it to the VL-STCM/FL-
STCM MAP decoder. Note that the MAP decoder of VL-
STCM/FL-STCM also benefits from the $a$ priori probability of its input word $s[t]$. Hence, as the source becomes correlated,
the VL-STCM-ID, VL-STCM and FL-STCM schemes will
benefit from the $a$ priori probability of the source symbols.
However, FL-STCM attains no energy savings.

IV. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Extrinsic Information Transfer (EXIT) charts designed for
binary receivers [10] have been widely used for analysing
the convergence behaviour of iterative decoding aided con-
catenated coding schemes. In this paper, we will employ
the technique proposed in [11] for computing the non-binary EXIT
functions. However, the convergence analysis of the proposed
three-stage VL-STCM-ID scheme requires the employment of
novel three Dimensional (3D) non-binary EXIT charts, which
evolved from the binary 3D EXIT charts used in [12] for
analysing multiple concatenated codes.

To elaborate a little further, EXIT charts visualise the input
and output characteristics of the constituent MAP decoders in
terms of the mutual information transfer between the input
sequence and the $a$ priori information at the input, as well
as between the input sequence and the extrinsic information
at the output of the constituent decoder. Hence, there are two
steps in generating an EXIT chart. Firstly, we have to model the
$a$ priori probabilities of the input sequence and then feed
them to the decoder. Secondly, we have to compute the mutual
information of the extrinsic probabilities at the output of the
decoder. Let us now model the $a$ priori probabilities of the
VL-STC codeword, $c = [c_1 \ c_2 \ \ldots \ c_{N_t}]^T$, where $c_m, m \in$
$\{1, 2, \ldots, N_t\}$, is also the input symbol of the $m$th URC.
Let us denote the input symbol of the $m$th URC as $c$, where the subscript $m$ is omitted for simplicity. Assume that the symbol $c$ is transmitted over an AWGN channel using the $\mathcal{M} = 3$-phaser mapper shown in Figure 1. The received signal is given by $y = x + n$, where $n$ is the AWGN noise having a zero mean and a variance of $\sigma_n^2$. Furthermore, we have $x = f(c)$, where $f(.)$ is the mapper function portrayed in Figure 1. Since $f(.)$ is a memoryless function, the probability of occurrence for $x$ is the same as that of $c$. Hence, we have $P(x) = P(c)$, which is expressed in Equation 7. At a given set of occurrence probabilities for $x$, the mutual information between $x$ and $y$ can be formulated as:

$$I(x; y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{M}} \int y P(x, y) \log_2 \left( \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x)P(y)} \right) dy,$$

$$= H(x) - H(y|x), \quad (8)$$

where $H(x)$ is the entropy of $x$, given by:

$$H(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{M}} P(x_i) \log_2(P(x_i)),$$  

and $H(y|x)$ is the conditional entropy of $x$ given $y$, which can be expressed as:

$$H(x|y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{M}} P(x_i)E \left[ \log_2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{M}} P(x_j) \exp(\Psi_{i,j}) \right) \right] \quad (9)$$

In Equation 10, we have $\exp(\Psi_{i,j}) = P(y|x_i)/P(y|x_j)$ and $P(y|x)$ is the conditional Gaussian PDF, while the exponent $\Psi_{i,j}$ is given by:

$$\Psi_{i,j} = -|x_i - x_j + n|^2 + |n|^2, \quad (11)$$

The expectation term $E[\cdot]$ in Equation 10 is taken over the AWGN $n$. Hence, a curve can be generated for $I(x; y)$ versus $\sigma_n^2$, where the expectation term in Equation 10 is evaluated using Monte Carlo simulation. We can simplify Equation 8 to a form, where $I(x; y)$ is expressed as a function of $\sigma_n^2$. Let us denote this function as $J(.)$ and we have $I(x; y) = J(\sigma_n^2)$.

Note that $I(x; y)$ is monotonically decreasing with respect to $\sigma_n^2$.

Let us now denote the a priori information of $c$ as $I_A(c) = I(x; y)$. At a given $I_A$ value we can find the corresponding noise variance with the aid of the inverse function $\sigma_n^2 = J^{-1}(I_A(c))$ using the $I(x; y)$ versus $\sigma_n^2$ curve. Then we can generate a noise sample $n'$ having a variance of $\sigma_n^2$. Consequently, we can produce $y' = x + n'$, where again $x = f(c)$ represents the mapper function portrayed in Figure 1 and $c$ is the actual input symbol of the $m$th URC. Finally, we can generate the a priori symbol probabilities for $P_o(c)$ using the conditional Gaussian PDF:

$$P_o(c) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_n^2} \exp \left( -\frac{|y' - f(c)|^2}{2\sigma_n^2} \right), \quad (12)$$

for $c \in \{0, 1, x\}$. Then we feed these symbol probabilities to the corresponding MAP decoder. Note that the above method can be used for any symbol-interleaved serially concatenated coding schemes, where the symbol probabilities are directly created for a given $I_A$ value. The mutual information for the $N_t$-element VL-STC codeword $c = [c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{N_t}]^T$ is the sum of the mutual information valid for its symbol components $c_m$, expressed as $I_A(c) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_t} I_A(c_m)$, where $I_A(c_m)$ is the mutual information of the $m$th symbol component of the VL-STC codeword or the $m$th URC’s input symbol, given by Equation 8. Note that the maximum value of $I_A(c_m)$ equals the entropy of $c_m$ given by Equation 9.

Next, we compute the mutual information of the extrinsic symbol probabilities $I_E(c_m)$ at the output of the VL-STC or URC decoder for the symbol $c_m$ using the method proposed in [11]. Finally, the mutual information of the extrinsic symbol probabilities for the VL-STC codeword can be computed from $I_E(c) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_t} I_E(c_m)$. We also compute the mutual information for the URC codeword $u$ based on the same procedure.

![Fig. 5. The 3D EXIT charts for the VL-STCM-ID scheme having $N_t = 3$ and $N_r = 2$, when using an uncorrelated source. The iterative trajectory is computed at $E_b/N_0 = 4$ dB. The maximum value of an axis denotes the entropy of the corresponding symbol.](image-url)
the URC decoder and the VL-STC decoder. Hence, by projecting these two convergence curves onto $z=0$ in Figure 5 gives us the equivalent 2D EXIT chart seen in Figure 6. Therefore, the 3D EXIT charts generated for multiple concatenated codes can be projected onto an equivalent 2D EXIT chart [12].

More specifically, we can observe an open tunnel between the EXIT curves of the VL-STC and URC schemes in the 2D EXIT charts of Figure 6 at $E_b/N_0 = 4$ dB, which indicates that decoding convergence can be achieved. Note that the EXIT curve generated for the soft demapper is also depicted in Figure 6 at $E_b/N_0 = 4$ dB. This curve is almost flat and it intersects with the VL-STC EXIT curve, before the maximum value of 4.68 bits is reached. Hence, decoding convergence cannot be achieved at $E_b/N_0 = 4$ dB when the URC was not invoked between the soft demapper and the VL-STC.

Figure 7 shows the 3D EXIT charts and the corresponding convergence curve of the soft demapper and the URC decoder as well as the actual iterative decoding trajectory for the VL-STCM-ID scheme having $N_t = 3$ and $N_r = 2$ when using the correlated source defined in Equation 1. As the source becomes correlated, the entropy of the codeword $c_m$ for $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ reduces. Hence, the maximum values for the $x$ and $y$ axes in Figure 7 are smaller than those in Figure 5. However, the open spatial segment of the 3D space between the two EXIT planes becomes wider, since the decoders exploit the additional a priori probabilities given by Equation 7, when the source is correlated. The convergence curve of the soft demapper and the URC decoder is projected as a dashed line onto $I_E(\text{Demod})=0$ in Figure 7. Similarly, the projection of the intersection line between the VL-STC and URC EXIT planes is represented by the curve lying on the vertical EXIT plane at $I_E(\text{Demod})=0$. As can be seen from Figure 7 at $I_E(\text{Demod})=0$, an open tunnel exists between the two projection curves at $E_b/N_0 = 3$ dB. Hence, the iterative decoder converged at $E_b/N_0 = 3$ dB, i.e. at a 1 dB lower value, when employing the correlated source instead of the uncorrelated source.

According to the MIMO channel capacity formula derived for the Discrete-Input Continuous-Output Memoryless Channel (DCMC) in [13], the DCMC capacity for the $N_r = 2$ and $N_t = 3$ MIMO scheme employing the signal mapper seen in Figure 1 is $E_b/N_0 = 1.25$ dB at a bandwidth efficiency of 3 bit/s/Hz. Hence, the performance of the VL-STCM-ID schemes in Figures 5 and 7 is about 2.75 dB and 1.75 dB away from the MIMO channel capacity.

V. Simulation Results

The Fixed Length (FL) STCM (FL-STCM) scheme used in [7] employed the following FL Codebook (FLC) matrix:

$$V_{FLC} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$  (13)

The FL-STCM transmitter obeys the schematic of Figure 2, except that it employs the $V_{FLC}$ of Equation 13. For the FL-STCM, the minimum Hamming distance and product distance are 1 and 4, respectively. It attains the same multiplexing gain as that of the VL-STC or VL-STCM-ID arrangements. Note that it is possible to create an iterative FL-STCM-ID scheme by replacing the VL-STC encoder in Figure 3 with the FL-STCM encoder. However, the EXIT curve of the FL-STCM scheme of Equation 13 was found to be too flat for attaining any iteration gain due to its unity minimum Hamming distance. Let us now evaluate the performance of the VL-STCM, VL-STCM-ID and FL-STCM-ID schemes in terms of their source Symbol Error Ratio (SER) versus the $E_b/N_0$ ratio. Again we have $E_b/N_0 = \gamma/N_0$, where $\gamma$ is the SNR per receive antenna and $N_0 = \text{log}_2(N_s) = 3$ bit/s/Hz is the effective information throughput. Throughout our simulations, we use three transmit antennas and two receive antennas. The interleaver length is set to 10000 symbols.

Figure 8 depicts the SER versus $E_b/N_0$ performance of the VL-STCM, VL-STCM-ID and FL-STCM schemes when communicating over uncorrelated Rayleigh fading channels. As expected, the VL-STCM arrangement attains a higher gain when the source is correlated compared to FL-STCM. However, the FL-STCM benchmark also benefits from the probability-related a priori information of the source symbols,
as the source becomes correlated. On the other hand, the coding gain attained as a benefit of transmitting correlated source symbols increases, as the number of iterations invoked by the VL-STCM-ID scheme increases. The performance of VL-STCM-ID at $\text{SER} = 10^{-4}$ after the 8th iteration is approximately $6.5 \ (15) \text{ dB}$ and $7.5 \ (14.6) \text{ dB}$ better than that of the VL-STCM (FL-STCM) scheme, when employing correlated and uncorrelated sources, respectively.

Figure 9 shows the SER versus $E_b/N_0$ performance of the VL-STCM, VL-STCM-ID and FL-STCM schemes, when communicating over correlated Rayleigh fading channels at a normalised Doppler frequency of $10^{-4}$, using Wiener filter based channel estimation, BPSK, $N_t = 3$ and $N_r = 2$.